

# **A Global Architecture for 2020**

Shridath Ramphal

Paper prepared for the 2020 Global Architecture Visions Conference  
Centre for Global Studies, University of Victoria  
August 29-31, 2001

Draft: not for citation or quotation without permission of the author.

---

## **PART I**

### **AN OUTLINE OF IDEAS**

1. Any vision of a global architecture for 2020 must be premised on how humanity will perceive itself at that time. If the perception is as it is now - a world of nation states driven by the quest for national prosperity through economic and military power, with only minimal concern for the powerless in the world and for the global environment - the present global architecture is likely to resist significant change.
  
2. If there is a change of perception towards a world in which nation states remain, but perceive national self interest to require shared empowerment in a less unequal global society and a sense of caring for the planet, a new global architecture can emerge to facilitate human needs. It is in that latter context only that we can envisage a reform of our present outmoded institutions and the attitudes that sustain them.
  
3. In this context, a new global architecture should have among its prominent features the following:
  - a) a reinforcement of the spirit of the Charter of the UN through reform that equips it for the needs of 2020. Prominent among these is the reform of the Security Council to make it more representative and free of the dead hand of the veto;
  - b) a new global financial architecture is needed which establishes representative superintendence of the global economy, directed towards enlargement of social and economic justice worldwide. Neither existing international financial institutions nor the market can fulfil this essential function;
  - c) larger participation of global civil society within the institutional architecture - both within a reformed UN system and new institutions outside it. The elements of enlightened protest that currently seek to be heard, however overwhelmed by elements that simply seek violence, must be brought into the councils of global policy-making.
  - d) A much stronger institution must be designed charged with securing the survival of a planetary environment that will sustain human habitation with enforcement powers no less effective than those that pertain to preserving security in its conventional sense.
  - e) There must be an authority to facilitate the provision of global resources for global needs. Not to achieve at the international level what tax revenue aims to provide at the national level will result in an architecture that is largely dysfunctional.

4. 'Realists' will assert that the global change of perception identified in paragraph 2 above as the precondition of a new global architecture is unlikely to simply to

*for national action and the compulsions of international co-operation. It is not a new challenge, but it has a new intensity as globalization diminishes the capacity to deliver at home and enlarges the need to combine efforts abroad. Enlightened leadership calls for a clear vision of solidarity in the true interest of national well-being - and for political courage in articulating the way the world has changed and why a new spirit of global neighbourhood must replace old notions of adversarial states in eternal confrontation.*

*In a real sense the global neighbourhood is the home of future generations; global governance is the prospect of making it better than it is today. But that hope would be a pious one were there not signs that future generations come to the task better equipped than their parents. They bring to the next century less of the baggage of old animosities and adversarial systems accumulated in the era of nation-states.*

*The new generation knows how close they stand to cataclysms unless they respect the limits of the natural order and care for the earth by sustaining its life-giving qualities. They have a deeper sense of solidarity as people of the planet than any generation before them. They are neighbours to a degree no other generation has been.*

In this context, a new global architecture should have among its prominent features the following:

- 3a) a reinforcement of the spirit of the Charter of the UN through reform that equips it for the needs of 2020. Prominent among these is the reform of the Security Council to make it more representative and free of the dead hand of the veto;

#### A Call to Action - pg. 15

*Reform of the Security Council is central to reforming the UN system. Permanent membership limited to five countries that derive their primacy from events fifty years ago is unacceptable; so is the veto. To add more permanent members and give them the veto would be regressive. We propose a process of reform*

A Call to Action - pg. 13

*The time is now ripe to build a global forum that can provide leadership in economic, social and environmental fields. This should be more representative than the Group of Seven or the Bretton Woods institutions, and more effective than the present UN system. We propose the establishment of an Economic Security Council (ESC) that would meet at high political level. It would have deliberative functions only; its influence will derive from the relevance and quality of its work and the significance of its membership.*

*The ESC's tasks would be to:*

*continuously assess the overall state of the world economy and the interaction between major policy areas;  
provide a long-term strategic policy framework in order to promote stable, balanced and sustainable development; and  
secure consistency between the policy goals of the major international organizations, particularly the Bretton Woods bodies and the World Trade Organization [WTO].*

*The ESC should be established as a distinct body within the UN family, structured like the Security Council, though not with identical membership and independent of it.*

- 3c) Larger participation of global civil society within the institutional architecture - both within a reformed UN system and new institutions outside it. The elements of enlightened protest that currently seek to be heard, however overwhelmed by elements that simply seek violence, must be brought into the councils of global policy-making.

A Call to Action - pg. 15

*UN reform must reflect the realities of change, including the new capacity of civil society to contribute to global governance.*

*The General Assembly should be revitalized as a universal forum. Regular theme sessions, effective exercise of budgetary authority, and the streamlining of its agenda and procedures should be part of the process of revitalization. We also propose an annual Forum of Civil Society consisting of representatives or organizations to be accredited to the General Assembly as 'Civil Society Organization.' It should be convened in the General Assembly Hall sometime before the Annual*

**3d)**

A much stronger institution must be designed charged with securing the survival of a planetary environment that will sustain human habitation with enforcement powers no less effective than those that pertain to preserving security in its c

*that looks beyond the next election.*

*This cannot be leadership confined within domestic walls. It must reach beyond country, race, religion, culture, language, life-style. It must embrace a wider human constituency, be infused with a sense of caring for others, a sense of responsibility to the global neighbourhood.*

### **PART III**

#### **REFLECTIONS ON THE WAY FORWARD**

In 1998, in advance of the Millennium Summit, the Commission had an assessment made of progress to date. The following was the conclusion then:

Of the four substantive areas covered in the report, the security recommendations have had the best track record in terms of implementation. Clearly the Commissioners were attuned to the major arms control and disarmament movements in recent years, many of which have borne fruit. The biggest setback, from the Commission's perspective, has been the Security Council's retreat from peacekeeping responsibilities.

The picture has been much more mixed on the economic recommendations. A number of suggestions for the WTO, IMF, and World Bank relating to trade, development, and debt have been at least partially implemented. On the other hand, movement on Agenda 21 has been modest, ODA is slipping, and the notion of an Economic Security Council has received mixed reviews at best.

Many of the UN reform proposals have been debated and studied seriously during the inter-governmental deliberations and several have received positive attention (women, General Assembly budget authority, reviews of Regional Economic Commissions, UNCTAD, and UNIDO). There has been some progress on the appointment process for the Secretary-General, General Assembly themes, the Trusteeship Council, financial obligations, and coordination between the Second and Third Committees. The member states have wrestled intensively with a variety of proposals for reforming the Security Council. For all the progress that has been made on working methods, there has been remarkably little on composition or the veto. The suggestion for a right of petition and the creation of a Council for Petitioners apparently has not made headway.

While there has obviously been substantial progress toward realizing an international criminal court, little has changed on the other international law ideas put forward by the Commission.

2. Despite the glimpses of progress, it was not overall a reassuring picture. The Millennium Summit, despite the Secretary-General's Report (*We, The Peoples*) calling for change in many areas, did not provide or result in a blueprint of a global architecture for the new century. Matters were to get worse as the US Presidential election in 2000 and political trends in the United States had produced setbacks in critical areas of international cooperation, such as weapons control and environmental protection. These setbacks both represent in themselves and contribute to an international climate unpropitious to multilateralism. If the Commission on Global Governance were working in 2001, it is likely that its Report would be more pessimistic than it was in 1995. The glass seemed half full then seems half empty now and, in truth, it is less full.

3. Accentuating the positive, however, account must be taken of the assertion by people worldwide of their disaffection with the international status quo and in particular, with the implications of liberalisation and globalisation for many of the world's people. However much the violence that marked international gatherings from Seattle to Genoa is rightly deplored, the protests represent a reality of which international leaders must take account. And that is beginning to happen. The prospects for more genuine progress towards democracy in international decision-making, whether on trade, international finance, the environment, AIDS, or drugs, are more encouraging. The large downside is in international security and the unravelling of post-war progress in weapons control.

4. The UN Secretary-General had called his Report to the Millennium Assembly '*We, The Peoples*' G1. This is the reverse. And this is

...ely with governments, a few of  
...een serious about co-opting Civil Society into decision-making processes. '*We, the Peoples*' G1 has G

...mocratic processes can

Some of this progress will have to come from existing  
...ore radical reform may be required. The Security G1 Council remains