





0%

## Nova Scotia minimum prices per standard drink, 2017



## Domain scores, Nova Scotia, 2012 vs 2017 72.8% Pricing and Taxation 49.2% 2012 score 45.0% Physical Availability of Alcohol 37.5% ■ 2017 score 29.8% Direct Domains Impaired Driving Countermeasures 70.0% 40.0% Marketing/Advertising Controls 80.0% Minimum Legal Drinking Age 60.0% 20.0% Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral 63.9% 62.5% Liquor Law Enforcement 68.8% Alcohol Control System Indirect Domains 30.0% 80.0% Alcohol Strategy 65.0% Monitoring and Reporting 47.5% 10.0% Health and Safety Messaging 8.0%

Note: policy indicators may have changed between 2012 and 2017 thus scores reflect best practices at the time of data collection.

40%

60%

80%

100%

20%



## Next steps for reducing alcohol-related harms and costs in Nova Scotia

- Considering the substantial and increasing harm from alcohol use, the Nova Scotia government should give greater priority to funding and implementing effective alcohol policies such as those outlined in this summary and in the full reports.
- Position liquor boards within ministries directly concerned with health and safety rather than with finance and economic development.
- Learn from other provinces' and territories' experiences with successful implementation of effective alcohol policies (see Best Practice Leaders identified on P11 of the full report<sup>®</sup>).
- Government should take concerted action with NGOs and other stakeholders to implement a combination of population level policies, prioritising the first seven policy areas identified in this summary.
- Inform the public about the risks of alcohol, including the comparative risks of alcohol and other substances, to create a more supportive climate for enacting effective policies. This can be achieved with initiatives such as mandatory warning labels on all alcohol containers and consistent public health messaging on a range of health topics.
- Carefully document policy changes and regularly monitor and evaluate alcohol-related public health and safety outcomes to better inform future policy development.

Suggested citation: Asbridge, M., Thompson, K. Davis-MacNevin, P., Wettlaufer, A., Vallance, K., Chow, C., Stockwell, T., Giesbrecht, N., April, N., Callaghan, R., Cukier, S., Dube, M., Hynes, G., Mann, R., Solomon, R., Thomas, G., (2019). Reducing Alcohol-Related Harms and Costs in Nova Scotia: A Policy Review. Victoria, BC: Canadian Institute for Substance Use Research, University of Victoria.

Acknowledgements: Thank you to all of the provincial and territorial stakeholders who provided valuable feedback for this project as well as assisting with data collection and validation activities. We gratefully acknowledge MADD Canada for permitting us to use materials from their 2017 legislative review. Thanks also to our three external expert reviewers, Robyn Burton, Toben Nelson and Tanya Chikritzhs and to all of the extended members of the project team. This study was funded by Health Canada's Substance Use and Addictions Program. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of Health Canada or the other organizations acknowledged.

¤ To learn more about the Canadian Alcohol Policy Evaluation Project, read other jurisdictional summaries and download the full federal and jurisdictional reports, visit <u>alcoholpolicy.cisur.ca</u> or email <u>cisur@uvic.ca</u>.



