

# ACHIEVING COLLABORATION: SYSTEM LEVEL SUPPORT AND ACTIONS

Bette Reimer<sup>1</sup>, Dan Reist<sup>1</sup>, Brian Rush<sup>2</sup> and Roger Bland<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Addictions Research of BC, University of Victoria

<sup>2</sup> Centre for Addiction and Mental Health

<sup>3</sup> Professor Emeritus, Department of Psychiatry, University of Alberta

## INTRODUCTION

Implementing service delivery systems based on the psychosocial model requires a collaborative approach. The model draws attention to the genetic, physiological, psychological, social and cultural elements that influence health. At its simplest, integration ensures a person receives care that addresses

The research literature on integration is complicated by this complexity and lack of definitional clarity. The term 'integrated care' is both broadly and narrowly defined. Definitions range from requiring only interactions among providers to requiring shared treatment plans. Nevertheless, common among the definitions is the requirement of some communication or coordination between providers to meet the mental health, substance use or general health needs of people presenting for care (Baker et al., 2008). Operational methods used to increase communication and collaboration between care providers include:

- x Various communication mechanisms (e.g., a formal care manager role, consultations as an needed basis, regularly scheduled case reviews, formal protocols for information sharing)
- x Co-located services designed to facilitate communication between providers and to increase access for clients
- x ~~9.1j 012/2470.0122d (46(rdmTc I Td S C7.83O)-7(LLA)-7(B)Tj O)-7(RAn)5T T8 I Td V~~

as





effects of systematic care from an integrated approach suggests it is likely the quality of the treatment provided that contributes to the improvements observed rather than integration per se. However, the design and quality of the trials are inadequate to show this definitively.

## HOW DO WE ACHIEVE COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION RECOVERY AND SUPPORT?

There is no shortage of literature discussing the many barriers and challenges to the development of collaborative care and support for people with mental health addiction problems, including concurrent disorders (Kates et al., 2011; Chalk et al., 2011; Rush & Nadeau, 2011). Many barriers are also identified with respect to health services integration generally, and collaborative primary care specifically (Rand Europe, 2012; Hutchison et al., 2011; Ivbijara, 2012). The list of challenges commonly includes current levels of funding and funding/remuneration models; time constraints; lack of preparation through education and training for collaborative practice; entrepreneurial culture of some professionals and organizations; attitudes, stigma and discrimination working with people with mental health and/or addiction problems; lack of incentives for change; lack of access to key types of services required for a particular collaborative approach; geographic disparities in accessing some services (e.g. psychiatrists; specialists in addiction medicine); lack of belief/confidence in the value to be added by collaboration; fear of change generally and absence of an opinion leader to kick start and sustain a change management process; to name some of the more salient factors.

A consistent feature of effective healthcare systems is strong and integrated primary care (Kates et al., 2012; DeGruy & Etz, 2010; Dickinson & Miller, 2010). Kates and colleagues (2012) argue achieving primary care transformation will require a supportive healthcare environment, including policies and structures at a system-wide level. An approach to primary care transformation (41(m)-e-03617-0612318 a2(r)11(o)-r--e)739(a)

program. For example, one can emphasize the benefits for health care providers in managing challenging patients or meeting performance or quality targets. It was also identified as critical not to “oversell” the ease of implementation but rather advocate a realistic, paced, and well-managed approach to implementation. Lastly, the literature on creating successful community partnerships (see Wildridge et al., 2004 for a comprehensive review) offers valuable information for planning and implementation of collaborative activity generally, as does some literature specific to collaboration (Fawcett et al., 2000). While this literature is closer to the area of community development and capacity building, it offers some important perspectives, for example, the need to incorporate technical assistance and resources in support of the collaborative process itself and the need to carefully document the process of change and, in particular, early successes.

Although each collaborative initiative is unique and context-dependent, some guidance for development and implementation may be derived from a “best practice” framework for organizing health care delivery systems for people with complex needs, including chronic mental health conditions. Hollander and Price (2000) articulated such a best practice framework derived from interviews with approximately 270 leading experts in the respective domains (the others being care for the elderly,



support collaborative practices across the system



appointment (Collins et al., 2010). Strosahl (2005) proposes the standard of care should not be defined by the practice of specialty mental healthcare but rather from the practice of primary care.

Training and education. Lack of familiarity with collaborative practices (Kates et al., 2011) and limited knowledge of necessary skills (Collins et al., 2010; Watkins et al., 2001) have been cited as barriers to integration and collaboration. Suggested strategies to address these obstacles include cross-discipline education and skills training (Kates et al., 2011; Collins et al., 2010), such as professional development sessions on implementation practices such as screening, motivational interviewing, brief interventions and self-management tools. Other mechanisms include supports from provincial and territorial governments and health authorities (e.g., access to relevant materials, support for visits to existing projects), and steps by academic institutions to prepare students to work in collaborative models (Kates et al., 2011).

Technology. Collaborative models supported by technology such as telemedicine have demonstrated their value in addressing limited access, as well as shortages of healthcare professionals in urban and rural settings (Kates et al., 2011). An innovation such as e-telemedicine has the potential to provide ways to link service providers, enhance collaboration and provide consultation to underserved jurisdictions (Kates et al., 2011). Technology also offers other options



The literature points to primary care as foundational to an effective healthcare delivery system. In tandem with this, observers argue achieving strong primary care requires the support of an integrated system. Therefore, creating high quality primary care with enhanced collaboration will require attention to both human-focused and infrastructure elements.

### Evolving an integrated infrastructure

Several elements of the systems infrastructure can either promote or impair integration. Careful attention must be given to continuously assessing the impact of current elements and evolving structures that promote effective system operations and lead to improved outcomes.

Regulatory and policy changes are drivers for system change and often complement financing mechanisms. Financial management is associated with integrated systems and includes equitable funding distribution for different services or levels of service and mechanisms to promote inter-professional teamwork.

Well-designed computerized information systems are also associated with integrated healthcare. Information systems support operational practices such as managing client records, tracking service utilization and outcomes, as well as supporting service delivery (e.g., self-management tools, email exchange).

Clarity around practices and standards of care helps build understanding and trust within integrated healthcare. The differences between traditional general healthcare and behavioural health services need to be addressed through cross-discipline education and professional development. The importance of client efficacy should be paramount in development of this interdisciplinary understanding.

### REFERENCES

- Amato, L., Davoli, M., Ricci, C., Ferri, M., Faggiano, F. & Matick, R. (2005). An overview of systematic reviews of the effectiveness of opiate maintenance therapies: Available evidence to inform clinical practice and research. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 28, 321-329.
- Butler, M., Kane, R.L., McAlpine, D., Kathol, R.G., Fu, S.S., Hagedorn, H. & Wilt, T.J. (2008). *Integration of mental health/substance abuse and primary care*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality <http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/tp/mhsapctp.htm>
- Chalk, M., Dilonardo, J., and Gelber Rinaldo, S. (2011). Purchasing integrated services for substance use conditions in health care settings: An issue brief on lessons learned and challenges. *Forum on Integration*. 1-36.
- Cleary, M., Hunt, G. E., Matheson, S., Siegfried, N., Walter, G. (2008). Psychosocial treatment programs for people with both severe mental illness and substance misuse. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 34(2), 226-228.
- Collins, C., Hewson, ID, Munger, R. & Wade, T. (2010). *Evolving models of behavioral health integration in primary care*. New York: Milbank Memorial Fund. <http://>

De Alba, I., Samet, J.H. & Saitz, R. (2004). Burden of illness in drug and alcohol dependent persons without primary care. *American Journal on Addictions*, 13(1), 33-45.

DeGruy, F.V. & Etz, R.S. (2010). Attending to the whole person in the patient-centered medical home: The case for incorporating mental healthcare, substance abuse care, and health behavior change. *Families, Systems & Health*, 28(4), 298-307.

Dickinson, W.P. & Miller, B.F. (2010). Comprehensive/Type /Pagination >> Id04

- Institute of Medicine (2006). *Improving the quality of health care for mental and substance-use conditions*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Ivbijaro, G. (Ed.) (2012). *Companion to primary care mental health*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Kates, N., Mazowita, G., Lemire, F., Jayabarathan, A., Bland, R., Selby, P., Isomura (2011). The evolution of collaborative mental health care in Canada: A shared vision for the future. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 56(5), 140.
- Kates, N., Hutchison, B., O'Brien, P., Fraser, B., Wheeler, S. & Chapman, C. (2012). Framework for advancing improvement in primary care. *Healthcare Paper* 12(2), 8-21.
- Kathol, R., Saravay, S.M., Lobo, A. & Ormel, J. (2006). Epidemiologic trends and costs of fragmentation.

